

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/31/2002 #21

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/347,311	07/02/1999	GEERT PLAETINCK	B0192/7010	3674	
7:	590 01/31/2002				
JOHN R VAN AMSTERDAM			EXAMINER		
FEDERAL RE	C/O WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS P C FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA		SORBELLO	SORBELLO, ELEANOR	
600 ATLANTI BOSTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/347,311	PLAETINCK ET AL.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Eleanor Sorbello	1632			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See M	PEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final Office a	extension action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amen	dment		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet.	dered but does NOT plac	e the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newl	у		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.	I		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.					
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15,17-21,23,24,38-45,47,48 and	<u>92</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16,22,25-3	7,46 and 49-91.				
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:					

, is

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants proposed amendments now directed to C. elegans, have not overcome the basis of the 112/1 rejection. This is because the claims still encompass methods of identifying DNA responsible for conferring a phenotype in C. elegans, or any and all organisms, which include multicellular organisms for which applicants are not enabled. Rejections based on the aforesaid were discussed in Office Action dated 1/15/02.

Applicants further argue that they are enabled for plasmid vectors (as stated by examiner), and applicants state that they claim only plasmid vectors. However, the examiner argues that the claim is not limited to plasmid vectors but to any vector system. Applicants further argue that because the proposed claims are now limited to C. elegans and library construction is well known for C. elegans because many vectors have been used and are known in the art and to persons skilled in library construction. Applicants argue that they have support in the specification for this. However, applicants have not directed examiner to such support specifically. Moreover, the proposed amendment in view of applicants argument regarding the breadth of the vector systems claimed will necessitate further search and consideration.

of this

enter it

white and ment?